

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,831	10/07/2004	Charles Allen Crawford	JD-295A	JD-295A 5830	
24804	7590 06/03/2005	EXAMINER			
S.C. JOHNSON COMMERCIAL MARKETS INC 8310 16TH STREET, M/S 510			HAMLIN, DERRICK G		
PO BOX 902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
STURTEVANT, WI 53177-0902			1751		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					٧	Ĭ				
		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)		₩				
Office Action Summary		10/711,831		CRAWFORD ET	AL					
		Examiner		Art Unit						
		Derrick G. Han		1751	<u> </u>					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	arch 2005								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3)	,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠	<u>, </u>									
Applicat	ion Papers									
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) odrawing(s) be he ion is required if	d in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Infori	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 2/05.	- 4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		O-152)	-				

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 6, 9, 10, 14 and 22-26 are currently pending, although applicant states that "claims 1-21 are cancelled which obviates any discussion" (paragraph 2 of remarks).

The rejection of claim 9, under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, is maintained for the reasons set forth in the action mailed 12/14/04.

The rejection of claims 1-13, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beavan (US 4,390,441 A), is withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendment filed 12/14/04.

The rejection of claims 1-4 and 7-9, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coughlin et al. (US 6,423,675 A), is withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendment filed 12/14/04.

The rejection of claims 14-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Beavan (US 4,390,441 A), is withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendment filed 12/14/04.

The rejection of claims 9 and 19-26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Beavan (US 4,390,441 A), is withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendment filed 12/14/04.

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 11-13 and 15-21 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 6, 9, 10, 14 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beavan (US 4,390,441 A).

Beaven discloses a composition in solid or liquid form for machine dishwashing is disclosed, containing a builder such as a phosphate salt, an alkaline material such as sodium silicate and a halite such as sodium chlorite. The composition is for use with a machine incoporating an ultraviolet light source to irradiate the dishes and/or the liquor. The composition may also include a low-foaming or non-foaming surfactant and other usual adjuncts, especially enzymes. An exemplified composition is 33% tripolyphosphate, 27% sodium disilicate, 33% chlorite, 7% sodium tetraborate. (abstract) For the purposes of stain removal, particularly the removal of tea and coffee stains, and for the purposes of providing a germidical effect, it is known to include hypochlorite compounds in machine dishwashing formulations. (col. 1, lines 4-6) The reference teaches that chlorites are used as oxidizing agents in acid media, for example for bleaching cellulose, the chlorite in acid media producing chlorine dioxide which is the species responsible for the bleaching. (col. 1, lines 28-31) Furthermore, chlorite ions are known to react with chlorine or chlorine producing materials such as calcium hypochlorite or sodium dichloro-isocyanurate to produce chlorine dioxide. (col. 3, lines

65-68) From 0.5% to 60% by weight of a material yielding halite ions in aqueous media with sufficient alkaline material to give the composition a pH of at least 7.0 when dispersed at 0.5 g/l in water is also disclosed. (col. 1, lines 61-66) The compositions disclosed containing chlorine dioxide and a detergent were used in a dish washer to clean tea stained cups at temperatures of 20-65 degrees C. (col. 5 and 6, Table 2)

The reference fails to teach the composition is used for laundry or fabric treatments.

The reference does teach that chlorites are used as oxidizing agents in acid media, for example for bleaching cellulose, the chlorite in acid media producing chlorine dioxide, therefore there would be a reasonable expectation of success to modify the prior art to arrive at the instantly claimed invention because the prior art suggest bleaching a cellulose material and textile and are often a cellulose material.

Additionally, the reference makes it clear that when bleaching a cellulose the chlorite in acid is employed. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the instant composition for the bleaching of a cellulose material.

The reference does not teach the instant invention with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation. The reference fails to teach that the composition disclosed removes chlorhexidine gluconate stains.

The invention would have been obvious in view of the reference as the removal chlorhexidine gluconate stains is an inherent property of the compound. Furthermore the reference does teach that chlorine dioxide is used to remove tea stains, and there

Art Unit: 1751

would therefore be a reasonable expectation of success to modify the prior art to arrive at the instantly claimed invention because the prior art suggest that the agent is an affective stain remover. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use chlorine dioxide to remove chlorhexidine gluconate stains.

In view of the forgoing, the above claims have failed to be patently distinguishable over prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All of the claims would also have been obvious in view of US 5,872,111 (Au et al.), specifically example 31 and column 29.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/711,831

Art Unit: 1751

Page 6

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick G. Hamlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays from ~8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Derrick G. Hamlin

5/31/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700